# Multilinear Maps and Their Applications

Mark Zhandry – Stanford University

# Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

Exchange keys over a public channel:

- Public group  $\mathbb{G}$ , generator g, order p



#### (Potential) Hard Problems in Groups

• Discrete Log (DL):

$$g, g^a \longrightarrow a$$

• Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH):

$$g, g^a, g^b \longrightarrow g^{ab}$$

• Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH):

$$g, g^a, g^b, g^c \longrightarrow c = ab?$$

• Many Others:

- Decision Linear (DLIN):  $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_1^a, g_2^b, g_3^c \longrightarrow c = a + b?$ 

# Uses of Diffie-Hellman

- Two party key exchange
- Encryption
- Signatures
- •

#### 3-Way Diffie-Hellman?





### 3-Way Diffie-Hellman

**Problem:** Need way to multiply  $g^b$  and  $g^c$ 

**Solution** [Joux'00]: Use bilinear maps

$$e: \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}_2$$
$$e(g^a, g^b) = g_2^{ab}$$

•Bilinear group: group with bilinear map

#### 3-Way Diffie-Hellman?



#### Potential Hard Problems in Bilinear Groups

- DL, CDH, DLIN
- DDH?  $e(g^{a}, g^{b}) \stackrel{?}{=} e(g, g^{c})$
- Bilinear DDH:  $g, g^a, g^b, g^c, g^d_2 \longrightarrow d = abc?$
- Many Others
  - Bilinear Diffie-Hellman Exponent
  - Subgroup Decision

# Uses of Bilinear Maps

- Identity-Based Encryption
- Broadcast Encryption w/ short ciphertexts
- Traitor Tracing w/ short ciphertexts
- Short Signatures
- Threshold Signatures
- Somewhat Homomorphic Encryption

#### 4-Way Diffie Hellman?



# Multilinear Maps

Many groups:  $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2, \ldots \mathbb{G}_{\kappa}$ 

• Generators  $g_i$ 

Source group:  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_1$ ,  $g = g_1$ 

Pairing:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i,j} : \mathbb{G}_i \times \mathbb{G}_j &\longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{i+j} \\ e_{i,j}(g_i^a, g_j^b) = g_{i+j}^{ab} \end{aligned} (i+j \leq \kappa) \end{aligned}$$

• Often write  $e = e_{i,j}$ 

Gives multilinear map:  $e: \mathbb{G}^{\kappa} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\kappa}$  $e(g^{a_1}, \cdots, g^{a_{\kappa}}) = g_{\kappa}^{a_1 \cdots a_{\kappa}}$ 

#### Potential Hard Problems in Multilinear Groups

- DL, CDH, generalization of DLIN
- Multilinear DDH:

$$g, g^{a_1}, \dots, g^{a_{\kappa+1}}, g^c_{\kappa} \longrightarrow c \stackrel{?}{=} \prod_{i=1}^r a_i$$

 $\kappa + 1$ 

• ML-CDH for all  $\kappa' \leq \kappa$ – ML-DDH easy for all  $\kappa' < \kappa$ 

- Many others:
  - Subgroup Decision
  - Multilinear DH Exponent

### **Potential Applications**

Or: Imagine what we could do...

#### N-Way Key Exchange



# **Broadcast Encryption**

- Alice wants to broadcast a message
- Only a subset of players should decrypt

Will build via constrained PRFs

# PRFs

Keyed functions that look like random functions

$$f: \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$$

#### All or Nothing:

- Given k, can eval  $f_k(x)$  at all x
- Without  $k,\,f_k(\cdot)\,\mathrm{indistinguishable}$  from random

#### Constrained PRFs [BW'13]

Given set S of inputs, give "constrained key":

$$k_{S} \leftarrow \text{constrain}(k, S)$$

$$k_{S} \text{ can compute } f_{k}(x) \text{ on all points } x \in S$$

$$\text{eval}(k_{S}, x) = \begin{cases} f_{k}(x) & \text{if } x \in S \\ \bot & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Goal: allow interesting sets S

### Example: GGM

Constrained keys = values of nodes



#### Constrained sets = sets with same prefix

## **Other Possible Set Systems**

Left/Right: $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{W}^2$ 

- Left sets:  $(x_0, y)$  for fixed  $x_0$
- Right sets:  $(x,y_0)$  for fixed  $y_0$

#### Bit-fixing: $\mathcal{X} = \{0,1\}^n$

- Sets correspond to  $\mathbf{v} \in \{0, 1, ?\}^n$
- Can eval at all x that agree with  $\mathbf{v}$  (? wildcard) Example:  $\mathbf{v} = (0, ?, ?, 1) \rightarrow S = \{0001, 0011, 0101, 0111\}$

#### **Circuit Predicates**

# **Bit-Fixing PRF Construction**

Use  $\kappa = n + 1$  multilinear map

#### Setup:

- Choose random  $\{d_{i,\beta}\}_{i\in[n],\beta\in\{0,1\}}$
- Choose random  $\alpha$
- Secret key:  $(\alpha, \{d_{i,\beta}\}_{i \in [n], \beta \in \{0,1\}})$

**Function:** 

$$f_k(x) = g_{\kappa}^{\alpha \prod_i d_{i,x_i}}$$

### **Bit-Fixing PRF Construction**

$$f_k(x) = g_{\kappa}^{\alpha \prod_i d_{i,x_i}}$$

#### **Constrain:**

- Input  $\mathbf{v} \in \{0, 1, ?\}^n$
- Let  $V = \{i \in [n] : \mathbf{v}_i \neq ?\}$
- $k'_{\mathbf{v}} = (g_{1+|V|})^{\alpha \prod_{i \in V} d_{i,\mathbf{v}_i}}$
- $k_{\mathbf{v}} = (k'_{\mathbf{v}}, \{g^{d_{i,\beta}}\}_{i \notin V, \beta \in \{0,1\}})$

# **Bit-Fixing PRF Construction**

$$f_k(x) = g_{\kappa}^{\alpha \prod_i d_{i,x_i}}$$
$$k'_{\mathbf{v}} = (g_{1+|V|})^{\alpha \prod_{i \in V} d_{i,\mathbf{v}_i}}$$

#### Eval:

- $T = (g_{n-|V|})^{\prod_{i \notin V} (d_{i,x_i})}$  Pair with  $k'_{\mathbf{v}}$  to get output

#### Broadcast Encryption from Bit-Fixing PRFs

#### Setup:

- Generate a Bit-Fixing PRF f with key k
- For each player  $i \in [n]$ , compute:

$$k_i \leftarrow \texttt{constrain}(k, \mathbf{v})$$
 where  $\mathbf{v}_i = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_j = ?$  for  $j \neq i$ 

**Encrypt** to a subset  $S \subseteq [n]$  of players:

- Let  $k_S = f(S)$
- Use symmetric cipher with key  $k_S$

# **Policy-Based Key Agreement**



Build from constrained PRFs for circuit predicates

#### Other Applications of Multilinear Maps

- Attribute-Based Encryption
- Witness Encryption
- Obfuscation
- Functional Encryption



# Rest of Talk

Two recent candidates for multilinear maps

- From ideal lattices
- Over the integers

Not true multilinear maps

- Randomized
- Noisy

May still be used in many applications

# **Relaxation: Graded Encodings**

Scalar 
$$a \rightarrow$$
 Level 0 encoding of  $\alpha$   
 $g^a \rightarrow$  Level 1 encoding of  $\alpha$   
 $g_2^a \rightarrow$  Level 2 encoding of  $\alpha$ 

Graded encoding schemes: encoding not unique •Ring  $R, \alpha \in R$ 

$$S^{(lpha)}_i$$
: set of level  $i$  encodings of  $lpha$ 

# **Relaxation: Graded Encodings**

Requirements:

Add same level encodings

$$a \in S_i^{(\alpha)} \quad b \in S_i^{(\beta)}$$
  
add $(a, b) \in S_i^{(\alpha + \beta)}$ 

• Multiply encodings  $a \in S_i^{(\alpha)}$   $b \in S_j^{(\beta)}$  $\operatorname{mult}(a, b) \in S_{i+j}^{(\alpha\beta)}$ 

(as long as  $i+j \leq \kappa$  )

Pairing Equivalent:

$$a, b \rightarrow a + b$$

$$g^{a}, g^{b} \rightarrow g^{a}g^{b} = g^{a+b}$$

$$g^{a}_{2}, g^{b}_{2} \rightarrow g^{a}_{2}g^{b}_{2} = g^{a+b}_{2}$$

$$a, b \to ab$$
  
 $a, g^b \to (g^b)^a = g^{ab}$   
 $g^a, g^b \to e(g^a, g^b) = g_2^{ab}$ 

#### The GGH Construction

# Notation

$$\begin{split} &R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n + 1) \\ &\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}[X]/(X^n + 1) \\ &R' = R/qR \\ &[\mathbf{u}]_q : \text{reduce mod } q \\ &\langle \mathbf{g} \rangle : \text{principle ideal generated by } \mathbf{g} \in R \end{split}$$

Properties:

• 
$$R \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n, R' \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n_q$$

•  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  short"  $\rightarrow \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{y} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v}$  short"

# The GGH Construction

- $\mathbf{g} \in R$  "short", secret,  $\mathbf{g}^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}$  "short"
- $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{g} 
  angle$
- $T = R/\mathcal{I} \longleftrightarrow \{\mathbf{e} + \mathcal{I} : \mathbf{e} \in R\}$
- $\mathbf{z} \in R'$  secret, not short
- Level i encoding of  $\mathbf{e} + \mathcal{I} \in T$ :

$$rac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{z}^i} \in R_q \qquad \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{e} + \mathcal{I}$$
, "short"

$$S_i^{\mathbf{e}+\mathcal{I}} = \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{z}^i} : \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{e} + \mathcal{I}, \|\mathbf{c}\| \text{ small} \right\}$$

# **Encoding Operations**

• Addition:

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{c}_1}{\mathbf{z}^i} \in S_i^{(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathcal{I})} \quad \mathbf{u}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{c}_2}{\mathbf{z}^i} \in S_i^{(\mathbf{e}_2 + \mathcal{I})}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{c}_2}{\mathbf{z}^i} \in S_i^{(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathcal{I})}$$

#### Proof:

$$\mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{c}_2 \in (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2) + \mathcal{I}$$
  
 $\mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{c}_2$  "short"

# **Encoding Operations**

• Multiplication:

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{c}_1}{\mathbf{z}^i} \in S_i^{(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathcal{I})} \quad \mathbf{u}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{c}_2}{\mathbf{z}^j} \in S_j^{(\mathbf{e}_2 + \mathcal{I})}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{u}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{c}_1\mathbf{c}_2}{\mathbf{z}^{i+j}} \in S_{i+j}^{(\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_2 + \mathcal{I})}$$

Proof:
$$\mathbf{c}_1\mathbf{c}_2\in (\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_2)+\mathcal{I}$$
 $\mathbf{c}_1\mathbf{c}_2$  "short"

# Generating Level 0 Encodings

Level 0 encoding of  $\mathbf{e} + \mathcal{I}$ : short  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{e} + \mathcal{I}$ 

**Problem:** can't encode coset w/o knowing  $\mathbf{g}$ **Resolution:** sample coset by sampling short rep

**Fact:** Sample "short" **c** from appropriate distribution  $\rightarrow$  coset **c** +  $\mathcal{I}$  close to uniform

### Moving to Higher Levels

Need operation  $\mathbf{c} 
ightarrow rac{\mathbf{c}'}{\mathbf{z}}$  where  $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}' \in \mathbf{e} + \mathcal{I}$ 

#### **Problem:** $\mathbf{z}$ is secret

Solution: publish level 1 encoding of  $1+\mathcal{I}$ 

$$\mathbf{y} = \left[ egin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{a} \ \mathbf{z} \end{bmatrix}_q \ \mathbf{a} \in 1 + \mathcal{I}$$
, "short"

To move to level 1:

$$\mathbf{c} 
ightarrow [\mathbf{y}\mathbf{c}]_q = \left[rac{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{z}}
ight]_q$$

# Moving to Higher Levels

Insecure:  $[\mathbf{yc}]_q \rightarrow \mathbf{c}$  by dividing by  $\mathbf{y}$ Solution: rerandomize

• Publish many level 1 encodings of 0:

$$\mathbf{x}_i = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_i \ \mathbf{z} \end{bmatrix}_q \quad \mathbf{b}_i \in \mathcal{I}$$
, "short"

To move to level 1:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{c} &\to [\mathbf{y}\mathbf{c} + \sum_{i} r_i \mathbf{x}_i]_q = \left[\frac{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{c} + \sum_{i} r_i \mathbf{b}_i}{\mathbf{z}}\right]_q \\ &r_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{"small"} \end{split}$$

# **Testing for Equality**

Need to be able to test equality
Suffices to test if level *r*encoding encodes 0

Solution: publish "zero test" parameter  $\mathbf{p}_{zt} = \left[\frac{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{z}^{\kappa}}{\mathbf{g}}\right]_{q} \mathbf{h}$  "somewhat small"

Test if  $[\mathbf{p}_{zt}\mathbf{u}]_q$  is "small"

# **Testing for Equality**

$$[\mathbf{p}_{zt}\mathbf{u}]_q = \left[rac{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{z}^\kappa}{\mathbf{g}}rac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{z}^\kappa}
ight]_q = \left[\mathbf{h}rac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{g}}
ight]_q$$

If  $\mathbf{u}$  encodes 0:  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{I}$ 

 $rac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{c}\mathbf{g}^{-1}$  (Multiplication over  $\mathbbm{K}$ )

$$[\mathbf{p}_{zt}\mathbf{u}]_q = [\mathbf{hcg}^{-1}]_q = \mathbf{hcg}^{-1}$$
 "short"

### **Testing for Equality**

$$[\mathbf{p}_{zt}\mathbf{u}]_q = \left[\frac{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{z}^{\kappa}}{\mathbf{g}}\frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{z}^{\kappa}}\right]_q = \left[\mathbf{h}\frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{g}}\right]_q$$

If  $\mathbf{u}$  encodes non-zero:  $\mathbf{c} \notin \mathcal{I}$ 

Thm [GGH]: If 
$$\mathbf{c} 
otin \mathcal{I}$$
, then  $\left[\mathbf{h} rac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{g}}
ight]_q$  is large w.h.p.

### Extraction

Each party needs to agree on same valueBut have different encoding of same element

# Solution: Use zero-test parameter • If $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}'$ encode same value, $[\mathbf{p}_{zt}\mathbf{u}]_q - [\mathbf{p}_{zt}\mathbf{u}']_q = [\mathbf{p}_{zt}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}')]_q$ is "short"

•  $[\mathbf{p}_{zt}\mathbf{u}]_q, [\mathbf{p}_{zt}\mathbf{u}']_q$ agree on most-significant bits

### Extraction

To extract at level  $\kappa$ :

- Collect most-significant bits of  $[\mathbf{p}_{zt}\mathbf{u}]_q$
- Apply strong randomness extractor to get uniform bit string

#### What needs to be a secret?

- z: otherwise DL is easy
- **g**: compute  $\mathbf{p}'_{zt} = [\mathbf{g}\mathbf{p}_{zt}]_q = [\mathbf{h}\mathbf{z}^{\kappa}]_q$ Given level 1 encoding  $\mathbf{u} = \frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{z}}$

Compute 
$$\mathbf{v}_1 = [\mathbf{p}'_{zt}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{y}^{\kappa-1}]_q = \mathbf{h}\mathbf{a}^{\kappa-1}\mathbf{c}$$
  
 $\mathbf{v}_2 = [\mathbf{p}'_{zt}\mathbf{y}^{\kappa}]_q = \mathbf{h}\mathbf{a}^{\kappa}$ 

No 
$${f g}$$
, so can "divide mod  ${\cal I}$ "  
– Obtain  ${f c}'\in {f c}+{\cal I}$ , "short"

#### What needs to be a secret?

• h: compute  $\mathbf{p}'_{zt} = [\mathbf{p}_{zt}/\mathbf{h}]_q = [\mathbf{z}^{\kappa}/\mathbf{g}]_q$ Pick randomizer

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \left[ egin{array}{c} \mathbf{b}_i \ \mathbf{z} \end{array} 
ight]_q \qquad egin{array}{c} \mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{g} \mathbf{b}_i' \ \mathbf{b}_i' & ext{"short"} \end{array}$$

Compute

$$\mathbf{p}_{zt}'' = [(\mathbf{p}_{zt}')^2 \mathbf{x}_i]_q = [\mathbf{b}_i' \mathbf{z}^{2\kappa} / \mathbf{g}]_q$$

Now we have level 2k zero tester!  $\rightarrow$  Can solve MLDDH

# Security of GGH

No security proof from standard assumptions
 – Instead: extensive cryptanalysis

- Supposed hard problems:
  - Discrete Log
  - Multilinear DDH

- Easy problems:
  - Decision Linear
  - Subgroup Decision

# Efficiency of GGH

- Parameterized by security  $\lambda$ , level  $\kappa$
- All encodings represented as elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_{a}^{n}$

- For functionality, need (at minimum)  $\log q \geq O(\kappa \lambda + \kappa \log n)$
- Implies  $n \geq \tilde{O}(\kappa \lambda^2)$
- Size of encodings:  $ilde{O}(\kappa^2\lambda^3)$

# Efficiency of GGH

- Size of encodings:  $ilde{O}(\kappa^2\lambda^3)$
- Size of public parameters:
  - Level 1 encoding of 1
  - m level 1 encodings of 0 ( $m > O(n \log q)$  for rerandomization)

– Zero tester

Total public parameter size:  $ilde{O}(k^4\lambda^6)$ 

• Even larger for some applications

#### The CLT Construction

# The CLT Construction

 $R = \mathbb{Z}^n$ , component-wise add/mult Let  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, ..., p_n) \in R$  vector of primes  $R' = R/\mathbf{p}R$  $\mathbf{g} \in R$  "short", secret vector of primes  $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{g} \rangle$  $T = R/\mathcal{I} \longleftrightarrow \{\mathbf{e} : 0 \le e_i \le g_i\}$  $\mathbf{z} \in R'$  secret, not short

### Over the Integers

Let  $q = \left[ \begin{array}{c} p_i \end{array} \right]$ **CRT** isomorphism:  $R' = \mathbb{Z}^n / \mathbf{p} \mathbb{Z}^n \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_a$ Apply to scheme:  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ random Level i encoding of  $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, ..., e_n)$ :  $\frac{c}{\gamma^i} \in \mathbb{Z}_q \text{ s.t. } c = r_j g_j + e_j \pmod{p_i}$  $r_i g_i + e_j$  small

### Secrets?

Need same secrets as GGH: g, z, h

What about the primes?

- Factorization of q known  $\rightarrow$  1D problem
- Look at what happens mod p
- GGH zero tester, encodings of 0, 1:

$$p_{zt} = \frac{hz^{\kappa}}{g} \pmod{p} \quad x_{\ell} = \frac{r_{\ell}g}{z} \pmod{p}$$
$$y = \frac{1+sg}{z} \pmod{p}$$

#### Secrets?

#### Combine:

$$[x_{\ell}^{i}y^{\kappa-i}p_{zt}]_{p} = [hr_{\ell}^{i}(1+sg)^{\kappa-i}g^{i-1}]_{p}$$
$$= hr_{\ell}^{i}(1+sg)^{\kappa-i}g^{i-1}$$

Compute for many  $i, \ell$ , GCD  $\rightarrow h$ Compute for many  $i \ge 2, \ell$ , GCD  $\rightarrow hg \rightarrow g$ From h, g easy to compute z

For security, must keep primes secret!

# **Other Changes**

Keeping primes secret introduces several issues:

• Generating level 0 encodings

Must generate integer c such that

 $c \pmod{p_j}$  is short

Cannot sample without knowing  $p_j!$ 

Solution: publish many level 0 encodings  $w_\ell$  of random values

– To sample, take random subset sums

# **Other Changes**

Keeping primes secret introduces several issues:

• Zero testing:

GGH zero tester:

$$p_{zt} = \frac{h_j z^{\kappa}}{g_j} \pmod{p_j}$$

Level  $\kappa$  encoding of 0:

$$u = \frac{r_j g_j}{z^k} \pmod{p_j}$$

# Zero Testing

Multiply GGH zero tester with encoding of 0:

$$p_{zt}u = h_j r_j \pmod{p_j}$$

#### Product is "short" mod $p_j$ , but we can't test!

Instead, want product to be "short" mod q

### **CRT** Isomorphism

$$c \longrightarrow c_j = c \mod p_j$$

$$c_j \longrightarrow c = \left[ \sum_j c_j \left( \prod_{j' \neq j} p_{j'}(p_{j'}^{-1} \mod p_j) \right) \right] \mod q$$

Coefficient of  $c_j >> q$ 

• Small  $c_j$  do not give small c

#### Need to cancel out some the coefficient

### **Zero Testing**

Solution: new zero tester

$$p_{zt} = \frac{h_j z^k}{g_j} \left( \prod_{j' \neq j} p_{j'} \right) \pmod{p_j}$$

Multiply with encoding of zero:

$$p_{zt}u = h_j r_j \prod_{j' \neq j} p_{j'} \pmod{p_j}$$

CRT: 
$$p_{zt}u = \sum_j h_j r_j \prod_{j' \neq j} p_{j'} \pmod{q}$$

#### **Zero Testing**

$$p_{zt}u = \sum_{j} h_j r_j \prod_{j' \neq j} p_{j'} \pmod{q}$$

# $|p_{zt}u| \approx n |h| |r| p^{n-1} < p^n \approx q$

Thm [CLT]: If u does not encode 0, then  $|p_{zt}u| pprox q$  whp

# Security of CLT

Just like GGH, no security proof from standard assumptions

- Supposed hard problems:
  - Discrete Log
  - Multilinear DH
  - Decision Linear?
  - Subgroup Decision?

# Efficiency of CLT

All encodings elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ 

Size of encodings same as GGH:  $ilde{O}(\kappa^2\lambda^3)$ 

Public params:

- Asymptotically same:  $ilde{O}(k^4\lambda^6)$
- CLT offer some heuristics to reduce size

# **Open Problems**

• From standard assumptions

- Remove secrets
  - Necessary to remove trusted setup from key exchange
  - How to remove zero tester?

• More Efficient?