

## Notes for Lecture 9

### 1 Lattice Cryptography (Part 2)

Last time, we saw the **shortest integer solution** problem:

**Definition 1.**  $\text{SIS}_{nmq}$ : given random  $A \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times m}$ ,  $m \gg n$ ,  $b \ll q$   
find  $x$  s.t.

- $0 < |x| \leq b$
- $A \cdot x = 0 \pmod q$

This is a special case of  $\text{SVP}_\gamma$  for

$$\Lambda_q^\perp(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^m : A \cdot x = 0 \pmod q\}$$

There exists a proof (not covered here) that this special case is as hard as the hardest cases.

### 2 Learning With Errors (LWE)

**Learning with errors** is another problem related to SIS.

**Definition 2.**  $\text{LWE}_{nmq\chi}$ : given random  $A \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times m}$ , and  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_q^m$  sampled as

- pick random  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$
- pick random  $e \leftarrow \chi^m$
- set  $u^\top = s^\top A + e^\top \pmod q$

The two versions of LWE are:

**Search:** Find  $s$

**Decision:** distinguish  $u$  from random vector

This is a special case of  $\text{CVP}_\gamma$  for

$$\Lambda_q(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^m : x = A^\top s \pmod q \text{ for some } s\}$$

the lattice spanned by the rows of  $A$  and  $(q, 0, 0, \dots), (0, q, 0, \dots), \dots, (0, 0, 0, \dots, q)$

### 3 Public Key Encryption from LWE

$pk: A, u \leftarrow \text{LWE}_{nmq\chi}$

$sk: s$

$\text{Enc}(pk, m):$

- choose a random  $x \in \{0, 1\}^m$
- output  $c_0 = A \cdot x, c_1 = u \cdot x + f(m) \pmod q$

$\text{Dec}(sk, (c_0, c_1)):$

- $c_1 - s^\top c_0 = (s^\top A + e^\top) \cdot x + f(m) - s^\top A x \pmod q = f(m) + e^\top x \pmod q$

Need  $f(m)$  invertible even under small errors

$$f(m) = m \cdot \left\lceil \frac{q}{2} \right\rceil \quad m \in \{0, 1\}$$

### 4 Security Proof

*Proof.* Suppose  $pk$  is sampled uniformly in  $\mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times m} \times \mathbb{Z}_q^m$

**Fact:**  $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ u \end{pmatrix} \cdot x \approx \text{uniform in } \mathbb{Z}_q^{(n+1)}$  if  $m \gg n \log q$

Entropy of  $x$  is  $m$ .

Entropy of random  $\mathbb{Z}_q^{(n+1)}$  is  $(n+1) \log q$ .

This is true even given  $A, u$ .

Apply this to cyphertext:

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= A \cdot x \\ c_1 &= u \cdot x + f(m) \approx \text{random} \end{aligned}$$

so this completely hides  $m$ .

For decisional **LWE**, the adversary can't tell if  $pk$  is honest or random. This can be used to reduce **LWE** to the encryption scheme. (If the encryption scheme can be broken by the adversary, use the adversary to solve decisional **LWE**.)

□

## 5 Dual Scheme

$pk$ :  $A \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times m}$

$sk$ :  $x \in \{0, 1\}^m$  s.t.  $A \cdot x = 0 \pmod q$

choose  $x$  first then choose  $A$

**Fact:**  $A \approx \text{random}$

Let's consider encrypting just a single bit,  $b$  (though this can be extended to any message).

$\text{Enc}(pk, b)$ :

- if  $b = 0$ : choose  $u$  random in  $\mathbb{Z}_q^m$
- if  $b = 1$ : choose  $u^\top = s^\top A + e^\top$  as in **LWE** for random  $s$ , and short  $e$

$\text{Dec}(sk, c)$ :  $c^\top \cdot x$

- if  $b = 0$ :  $u^\top \cdot x = \text{random in } \mathbb{Z}_q^m$
- if  $b = 1$ :  $s^\top A \cdot x + e^\top \cdot x = e^\top \cdot x \pmod q$ , which is small

(**Note:** in practice, use  $u = s^\top A + e^\top + f(m)$ , where  $f(m) = m \cdot \lceil \frac{q}{2} \rceil$ )

Breaking the Dual Scheme allows solving decisional **LWE**.

Further, a **SIS** solution allows breaking the Dual Scheme.

So a **SIS** solution implies a decisional **LWE** solution.

Finally, using a quantum computer a search **LWE** solution leads to a **SIS** solution.

## 6 Search **LWE** $\Rightarrow$ **SIS**

**Setup:**

- **Goal 1:** given  $A$ , we want to find a SIS solution using an algorithm for search LWE
- **Goal 2:** construct the state

$$|\psi\rangle \propto \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n \text{ s.t.} \\ A \cdot x = 0 \pmod q}} \chi_\sigma(x) |x\rangle$$

where  $\chi_\sigma(x)$  is discrete Gaussian weighting.

- **Goal 3:** construct the state

$$|\varphi\rangle \propto \sum_{s,e} \chi_{q/\sigma}(e) |s^\top A + e^\top\rangle$$

**Observation 1:** Measuring from Goal 2 solves Goal 1.

**Observation 2:** Applying the multidimensional Quantum Fourier Transform, mod  $q$ , to Goal 3 solves Goal 2.

*Proof.*

- QFT of  $\sum \chi_\sigma(x) |x\rangle \approx \sum_e \chi_{q/\sigma}(e) |e\rangle$
- QFT of  $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n \text{ s.t. } A \cdot x = 0 \pmod q} |x\rangle \rightarrow \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} |s^\top A \pmod q\rangle$
- multiplication before Fourier Transform is equivalent to convolution after the Fourier Transform. That is

$$\sum_x \alpha_x \beta_x |x\rangle \rightarrow \sum_{y,z} \hat{\alpha}_y \hat{\beta}_z |y + z\rangle$$

- Now, let  $\alpha_x = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \cdot x = 0 \pmod q \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  and let  $\beta_x = \chi_\sigma(x)$

□

So solving Goal 1 reduces to solving Goal 3.

## 6.1 Solving Goal 3

1. construct  $\sum_{s,e} \chi_{q/\sigma} |s, e\rangle$

2. compute  $|s, e\rangle \rightarrow |s, e\rangle |s^\top A + e^\top \bmod q\rangle$

$$\sum_{s,e} \chi_{q/\sigma}(e) |s, e, s^\top A + e^\top \bmod q\rangle$$

3. uncompute  $e$

$$\sum_{s,e} \chi_{q/\sigma}(e) |s, s^\top A + e^\top \bmod q\rangle$$

4. use LWE solver to uncompute  $s$

$$\sum_{s,e} \chi_{q/\sigma}(e) |s^\top A + e^\top \bmod q\rangle$$

and we're done!